IJIREEICE ISSN (O) 2321-2004, ISSN (P) 2321-5526

International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Impact Factor 8.414 ⪤ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⪤ Vol. 13, Issue 9, September 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

An Analysis of Failure Modes, Economic Impact, and Regulatory Gaps of Photovoltaic Junction Boxes, Future Scope in the Indian Solar Sector

Ravi Verma

Central Institute of Petrochemicals Engineering & Technology, Lucknow, India

ORCID ID - 0009-0004-6561-3876

Abstract: Photovoltaic (PV) junction boxes play a crucial role as Balance of System (BoS) components, facilitating the safe and efficient transmission of power from solar modules. Junction boxes play a vital role, yet they frequently encounter reliability issues, particularly in the face of India's varied and severe environmental conditions. This analysis explores the main failure modes of PV junction boxes within the Indian solar industry, assesses their economic impacts, and highlights significant regulatory deficiencies. The examination uncovers prevalent failure mechanisms, including thermal degradation, diode burnout, moisture ingress, and corrosion, which are intensified by elevated ambient temperatures, dust, and humidity. The economic implications of these failures include diminished energy production, escalated operations and maintenance costs, and an increased risk of fire hazards, all of which directly impact return on investment. Furthermore, the absence of enforceable standards in India and the lack of policy incentives for BoS components have resulted in an influx of substandard imports and constrained domestic innovation. This paper emphasizes the critical necessity for strong regulatory frameworks, which should encompass mandatory BIS certification, targeted incentives for specific components, and enhanced investment in quality testing infrastructure. It is crucial to tackle these gaps to guarantee the enduring performance, safety, and sustainability of solar installations as India moves forward in its shift towards a clean energy future.

Keywords: Sustainability, green energy, failure mechanisms, Policy management, Regulatory, Circular Economy

I. INTRODUCTION

India has positioned itself as a prominent player in the realm of solar photovoltaic (PV) deployment, boasting an installed capacity of 100 GW as of 2025, alongside an ambitious goal of reaching 500 GW by 2030 [1], [2]. Despite significant focus on photovoltaic modules, inverters, and storage technologies, essential Balance of System (BoS) components, particularly photovoltaic junction boxes, have been subject to relatively limited examination[3]. Although they are compact and inexpensive compared to the entire system, PV Junction boxes play a crucial role in ensuring the safe and efficient functioning of PV modules [4]. These components function as electrical interfaces, incorporating bypass diodes to mitigate hot spots, facilitating current collection, and offering protection against environmental stressors [5].

In the context of solar energy in India, the effectiveness and durability of junction boxes are especially important because of the country's varied and frequently severe climatic conditions, which include high levels of irradiance, extreme temperatures, dust, and humidity [6]. The identified factors lead to an increase in aging rates and a higher incidence of failures in photovoltaic components [7]. Field reports and anecdotal evidence from installers and independent power producers (IPPs) suggest an increasing occurrence of failures associated with junction boxes, including diode burnout, plastic deformation, moisture ingress, and terminal corrosion [8]. These failures not only diminish energy output but can also create fire risks, leading to costly system interruptions and heightened operations and maintenance expenses [9], [10].

The sector's emphasis on cost-competitiveness intensifies the economic consequences of these failures in India [11]. Prices continue to decline sharply, currently ranging from \$0.10 to \$0.12 per watt, forcing component manufacturers to cut costs relentlessly, often compromising on quality in the process [12]. The current market landscape has increased to inexpensive yet unreliable junction boxes, particularly due to the lack of enforced domestic standards [13]. Additionally, the current rules and regulations in India do not set clear, enforceable requirements for the quality of Balance of System

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

(BoS) components, like junction boxes. While modules and inverters are subject to the Approved List of Models and Manufacturers (ALMM) compliance, these regulations do not include junction boxes. Regulation permits inferior products to infiltrate the market without oversight, jeopardizing system reliability and diminishing long-term returns on investment (Shiradkar et al. 2022; Rustagi and Karmarkar, 2022).

While international standards like IEC 62790 and UL 3730 offer design and safety guidelines for junction boxes, their implementation in India is predominantly voluntary. Efforts by local producers to enhance production capabilities and elevate product standards face significant obstacles due to insufficient targeted incentives, inadequate testing facilities, and misalignment with international certification standards [16]. In light of the expanding scope of solar installations and the increasing use of advanced technologies, such as bifacial modules, it is essential to address the various challenges associated with PV junction boxes [17], [18].

II. DISCUSSION

This paper seeks to address the existing gap by
(i) Identifying the main failure modes of PV junction boxes under Indian climatic conditions,
(ii) Quantifying the related economic impacts regarding energy losses and maintenance costs, and
(iii) Analyzing the regulatory shortcomings that hinder quality enforcement and local manufacturing competitiveness.
This study highlights the critical necessity for India to enhance its regulatory framework, foster domestic innovation, and guarantee the implementation of dependable, high-performance junction boxes as integral components of a robust and sustainable solar infrastructure through a thorough analysis of field data, technical evaluations, and policy assessments.

III. FAILURE MODES OF PHOTOVOLTAIC JUNCTION BOXES

Photovoltaic (PV) junction boxes are engineered to optimize current collection from solar cells, incorporate bypass diodes to safeguard against hot spots, and function as the main interface for linking modules to external circuitry. Nonetheless, in practical operating conditions—particularly within the diverse and frequently challenging climates of India—junction boxes are susceptible to various mechanical, electrical, and environmental failures. Such failures may jeopardize the safety of the module, diminish energy output, and, in severe instances, result in fire risks. The main failure modes identified in Indian installations are outlined and detailed below [19].

1. Diode Failure

Bypass diodes, incorporated into the junction box, play a vital role in safeguarding against reverse bias damage caused by shading or string mismatch. Typical failure modes consist of

- Short-circuit failure: Leads to current diversion and diminishes output.
- Open-circuit failure: Results in the formation of hot spots, which can cause damage to cells.
- The causes include extended overcurrent, inadequate heat dissipation, and degradation of diode quality due to thermal cycling [20].

2. Thermal Degradation

In Indian climates, especially in regions like Rajasthan, Gujarat, and Tamil Nadu, photovoltaic systems experience elevated temperatures exceeding 45°C. This leads to issues such as the melting or warping of the junction box casing [21].

- We are investigating the thermal fatigue of solder joints and polymer housings.
- Continuous cycles of expansion and contraction lead to the breakdown of insulation[22].

3. Moisture Ingress

Inadequate sealing, subpar adhesives, or mechanical damage can result in water or humidity penetration, leading to Corrosion of terminals and connectors [23].

- This can lead to a decrease in insulation resistance and the possibility of arcing.
- Diode deterioration accelerates.

4. Failures in Connectors

Inadequate, mismatched, or improperly crimped connectors can lead to [24].

- Arcing and localized overheating.
- There may be sporadic power loss or a decrease in voltage.

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

- Thermal cycling or vibration can cause an interruption.

5. Delamination and Adhesive Failures
Inadequate adhesives and enclosure materials are prone to deterioration over time, particularly when subjected to elevated UV exposure and humidity, resulting in as below[25].

- Delamination between the junction box and module backsheet.
- Environmental stressors interact with the internal circuitry.

6. Infiltration of Dust and Particulates
In arid regions characterized by elevated dust concentrations (such as western India), junction boxes that do not possess adequate ingress protection (IP65/IP68 ratings) are likely to experience [26], [27].

- Dust buildup on internal components can lead to increased resistance.
- Obstruction of heat dissipation and cooling mechanisms in diodes.
- This can lead to prolonged electrical shorts.

7. Deficiencies in Design and Materials
In markets where cost is a critical factor, it is common for manufacturers to compromise on material quality to achieve lower price points. Common issues encompass reduced thickness of copper tracks or the use of aluminum as a substitute [28].

- Plastics of inferior quality that exhibit inadequate resistance to UV exposure are commonly used.
- Insufficient potting or sealing materials are used.

8. Failures Associated with Installation
Inadequate torque application, excessive tightening, or improper cable management during installation may result in [29].

- Harm to connectors and terminals.
- Compromised seals can lead to the loss of IP ratings.
- Enhance the rate of mechanical deterioration.

IV. ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF JUNCTION BOX FAILURES IN THE INDIAN SOLAR INDUSTRY

Although photovoltaic junction boxes represent a small percentage of the total costs associated with solar systems (usually less than 1% of the overall bill of materials), their malfunction can lead to significant economic repercussions. The reliability of PV Junction boxes is essential for ensuring operational efficiency and protecting investor returns in the Indian solar market, which is marked by significant price sensitivity, competitive bidding, and extreme climatic conditions. This section details the economic consequences, both direct and indirect, resulting from junction box failures[30], [31].

Decrease in Energy Output

- Failures in junction boxes, especially those involving diode burnout or connector issues, can lead to significant consequences:
- Partial string disconnection: The failure of a single diode may diminish the output of up to one-third of a module.
- Heightened mismatch losses: Results in less-than-optimal performance of the entire string.
- Degradation associated with hot spots: Diminishes module longevity and overall yield over time [32].

Projected influence:
In the case of a 1 MW plant, a modest 2% decrease in output attributed to ongoing PV Junction box faults can lead to a generation loss is approximately 30,000 to 35,000 kWh per year, resulting in an estimated annual revenue loss of ₹1.5 to ₹2 lakh, based on a PPA rate of ₹5/kWh [33], [34], [35] .

Rising Expenses for Operations and Maintenance
Regular junction box failures require visual inspections, and thermal imaging is essential for identifying faults, while replacement of junction boxes or entire modules must be carried out on-site, incurring additional expenses related to labor and compliance with safety regulations [36].

International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering
Impact Factor 8.414 ⪫ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⪫ Vol. 13, Issue 9, September 2025
DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

Estimated expenses:
The expense for each replacement, including materials and labor, can range from ₹800 to ₹1,200, with logistics in remote installations potentially driving costs higher. O&M service providers have noted that 12–15% of the annual maintenance budget is allocated to BoS-related issues, with junction boxes accounting for a significant share of this expenditure [37].

3. Replacement of Capital Assets and Associated Downtime Losses
In some cases, failures of integrated junction boxes necessitate complete module replacements [38]. Downtime caused by delays in fault detection or the unavailability of spare parts can lead to prolonged string inactivity. For instance, replacing 100 faulty junction boxes in a 5 MW plant can cost between ₹1–1.5 lakh, excluding the additional losses from energy generation downtime (Mittag et al., 2017).

4. Fire and Safety Risks
Arcing, overheating, or insulation failure in junction boxes may lead to the following outcomes [40]
Though infrequent, incidents such as melting of connectors or DC wiring due to excessive heat and fires affecting multiple modules or arrays can lead to substantial financial losses. These events may trigger compensatory insurance claims or legal liabilities, causing reputational damage to EPCs and IPPs.

5. Costs Associated with Supply Chain and Warranty Management
- The absence of standardized components results in increased logistical challenges when sourcing compatible replacements.
- The enforcement of warranties presents challenges when dealing with non-standard, low-cost imported junction boxes.
- Unexpected inventory retention raises the capital requirements for operations and maintenance contractors [41].

6. Effects on Return on Investment (ROI) and Internal Rate of Return (IRR)
- Reduced yearly output and heightened maintenance efforts diminish plant cash flows.
- In large-scale projects funded through debt, even minor disruptions can impact the Internal Rate of Return (IRR) by 0.5–1%, threatening the project's viability [42].

7. Concealed System-Wide Effects

- **Degradation of the performance ratio (PR):** The occurrence of cumulative Junction box failures may lead to a decline in overall PR, falling below the 75–78% threshold, which in turn impacts performance-related incentives [43].
- **Concerns regarding financial viability:** Investors closely examine the reliability of the BoS; ongoing issues with the junction box could lead to increased risk premiums or diminished funding opportunities [44].

V. REGULATORY SHORTCOMINGS OF PHOTOVOLTAIC JUNCTION BOXES IN THE INDIAN SOLAR INDUSTRY

While photovoltaic (PV) junction boxes play a crucial role in guaranteeing safe and dependable solar power generation, the regulatory framework in India continues to be disjointed and inadequate. Despite significant advancements in the standardization of solar modules and inverters via initiatives like the Approved List of Models and Manufacturers (ALMM) and Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) certifications, there has been a noticeable lack of focus on the Balance of System (BoS) components, particularly junction boxes. This regulatory gap presents considerable obstacles for ensuring quality, securing project financing, and enhancing the competitiveness of domestic manufacturing [45].

1. Lack of Compulsory Standards
While international standards like IEC 62790 and UL 3730 outline safety and performance criteria for PV junction boxes, adherence to these standards in India remains optional. Typically, these standards are adhered to by EPCs and developers primarily for exports or utility-scale projects that receive funding from international financiers. The absence of enforceable standards mandated by the BIS for junction boxes results in the following issues[46].

There is no consistent benchmark for thermal performance, ingress protection (IP rating), or fire resistance.
- Variability in product quality throughout the market.
- Challenges in ensuring legal accountability for suppliers regarding performance shortcomings.

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

2. Exclusion from ALMM and PLI Schemes

The ALMM policy requires certification for solar PV modules; however, it does not cover essential balance of system components such as junction boxes, cables, or connectors. In a similar vein, the Production Linked Incentive (PLI) scheme, designed to enhance domestic manufacturing, concentrates primarily on solar cells, wafers, and modules. This oversight leads to two significant outcomes[47]

- Domestic producers of J-boxes face significant challenges due to the influx of lower-priced imports, particularly from China, which frequently disrupts the market with inferior or unverified components [48].
- Domestic manufacturers of high-quality products face a deficiency in financial or policy incentives that would encourage investment in innovation, research and development, or capacity expansion [49].
- Entities like Dhash PV Technologies, a prominent Indian Junction box manufacturer, have consistently advocated for mechanisms akin to ALMM specific to BoS and extensions of PLI [50].

3. Insufficient Quality Control and Market Oversight

India does not have a unified system for conducting pre-dispatch quality audits of junction boxes.

- Monitoring failures after deployment and managing component recalls.
- Ensuring compliance with after-sales service commitments for BoS suppliers. In contrast to modules and inverters, junction boxes frequently evade thorough examination owing to their affordability and assumed "non-critical" nature, yet they have demonstrated a significant capacity to trigger extensive system failures [51].

4. Challenges in Certification and Testing Framework

The infrastructure for testing and certification in India is predominantly focused on modules and batteries. Consequently, there are only a limited number of accredited laboratories equipped with the necessary tools and knowledge to evaluate the reliability of junction boxes under the specific stress profiles found in India, such as thermal cycling, high humidity, and dust conditions[52].

- Producers aiming to validate their products in accordance with IEC or BIS standards encounter significant delays, elevated expenses, and administrative hurdles.
  This creates barriers for small and medium domestic enterprises looking to enter the high-quality BoS manufacturing sector [53].

5. Reliance on Imports and Absence of Tariff Safeguards

The lack of import duties and quality controls on BoS components has led to the following outcomes:

- The low-cost market is flooded with substandard imported junction boxes.
- Domestic manufacturers find it challenging to compete, even though they provide more durable and certified products [54].
  In contrast to solar cells and modules, junction boxes do not have any safeguard responsibilities, basic customs duties, or anti-dumping measures in place. The outcome is a regulatory disparity that advantages imports at the expense of domestic production, hindering India's overarching objective of achieving self-reliance in solar manufacturing [55].

6. Constraints on Lifecycle and End-of-Life (EoL) Regulations

India has begun to address solar panel waste management through initiatives like the CPCB's draft guidelines. However, a comprehensive framework for the safe disposal, recycling, or reuse of junction boxes comprising plastic, copper, and silicon-based components remains absent. This presents an environmental challenge and a missed chance for advancing circular economy efforts [56].

7. Disjointed Policy Management

The regulatory oversight of junction boxes involves multiple entities, including the MNRE for policy direction, the CEA for technical guidelines, the BIS for setting standards, and the CPCB for overseeing waste management.[57].

This fragmentation results in inadequate coordination, diminished ownership, and sluggish policy development. In contrast to nations such as Germany or Japan, which incorporate component-level certifications into their national subsidy systems, India does not have a comprehensive compliance pathway for junction boxes (Pn and Kumar, 2025).

VI. SYNTHESIS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Table I summarizes key findings, providing a concise synthesis and actionable recommendations for informed decision-making and strategic planning [59].

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

Table I

Dimension	Key Issues	Suggestions
Technical	Degradation due to heat, moisture, poor adhesion, manufacturing, and wiring issues	Promote robust design (IP68, adhesives), better materials, thermal management
Economic	Higher raw material costs, installation overhead, and failure-induced losses	Incentivize reliable standardized products; subsidize smart boxes; improve training
Regulatory	Weak standards; limited incentives; import dominance	Enforce BIS certifications; include BoS in PLI/ALMM; raise import duties, encourage local R&D

VII. PROSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS

As India's solar sector advances swiftly towards its 500 GW target by 2030, the importance of high-reliability Balance of System (BoS) components, including photovoltaic (PV) junction boxes, is expected to grow significantly. This study has revealed significant deficiencies in component reliability, economic performance, and regulatory enforcement, paving the way for numerous opportunities in future inquiry, policy advancement, and industry growth [60].

1. Establishment of Standards for Junction Boxes in India

There is a pressing requirement for locally developed, context-relevant standards that cater to India's unique climatic and operational challenges, including elevated UV exposure, thermal cycling, and environments laden with particulates. Future endeavors may focus on developing BIS standards based on localized field data and stress profiles, ensuring alignment with international benchmarks, such as IEC 62790 [61].

2. Digital Monitoring and Predictive Maintenance

Future photovoltaic system designs have the potential to incorporate real-time thermal and electrical monitoring of junction boxes through the use of IoT-based sensors. Investigation into affordable, integrated monitoring systems may enable early fault identification, predictive maintenance, and reduced energy waste[62], [63].

3. Cutting-Edge Materials and Design Advancement

Current investigations into high-temperature polymers, corrosion-resistant coatings, and self-healing adhesives have the potential to enhance the durability of junction box designs. Joint efforts in research and development between the industrial sector and academic institutions have the potential to greatly enhance the longevity of products in the context of India [64].

4. Frameworks for Circular Economy and Recycling

With the initial phase of solar installations approaching their end-of-life, upcoming studies must focus on the recyclability and safe disposal of junction boxes. This encompasses the creation of sustainable, modular, and easily disassembled components to facilitate better segregation and material recovery [65], [66].

5. Lifecycle Cost and Risk Analysis

Future investigations could develop thorough models to assess the complete lifecycle cost (LCC) and risk exposure of PV systems as a result of junction box reliability. These models will assist developers and financiers in making well-informed decisions regarding procurement and warranties [67].

6. Integration of Policies and Reforms in Institutions

There is an opportunity to explore policy frameworks that could establish incentive mechanisms for high-quality BoS components, including the potential extension of ALMM or PLI schemes. Moreover, the creation of a centralized quality registry or a failure-reporting platform for BoS components has the potential to enhance transparency and accountability[68], [69].

8. Enhancement of Capabilities and Skill Acquisition

Future initiatives may emphasize the education of solar technicians, EPC contractors, and quality assurance staff regarding the proper handling, installation, and maintenance of junction boxes. This approach aims to mitigate human-induced failure modes and enhance long-term performance [70].

VIII. CONCLUSION

Photovoltaic junction boxes, while frequently underestimated in the larger solar value chain, serve as essential elements that have a direct impact on the safety, performance, and economic feasibility of solar installations. Failure modes include diode burnout, thermal deterioration, moisture intrusion, and connector defects, which are becoming more frequent in the Indian setting, which is marked by severe weather and budget-conscious project designs. Significant energy losses, increased operations and maintenance (O&M) expenses, possible fire hazards, and a lower return on investment are all consequences of these failures.

Junction boxes, despite their significance, are not covered by mandatory Indian standards and incentive schemes, which leaves the market vulnerable to subpar imports and hampers domestic manufacturing efforts. The situation significantly worsens due to the lack of regulation at the component level, inadequate testing infrastructure, and insufficient post-market surveillance. This study emphasizes the pressing need for enhanced quality assurance procedures, a thorough regulatory framework, and design and material innovation. In addition to increasing system stability, filling these gaps will help India achieve its goal of becoming a global center for solar manufacturing. Enhancing standards, promoting local production, and incorporating digital diagnostics are crucial measures for developing a robust, efficient, and forward-looking solar ecosystem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors extend sincere gratitude to CIPET and Dr. Manish Choudhary for all support & assistance. The collective motivation, dedication, and spirit of everyone involved made this endeavor successful.

Funding
The author(s) reported that there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

Author Contributions
Ravi Verma Formal analysis, Investigation, Original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Conflict Of Interest
The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Data Availability Statement
The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgment regarding the use of AI
The AI tool has been used only for drafting the body of this review work.

REFERENCES

[1] Kanchan, Mineekshi, and Kumar Rajender, “View of Photovoltaic Solar Energy in Achieving India’s Renewable Energy for Sustainable Development,” Journal of Electrical Systems (JES), vol. 3, no. 20, pp. 9495–9507, 2024, Accessed: Aug. 26, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://journal.esrgroups.org/jes/article/view/8524/5733

[2] Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, “Ministry of New and Renewable Energy,” Aug. 2025. doi: https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2100603.

[3] S. Al-Ali, A. G. Olabi, and M. Mahmoud, “A review of solar photovoltaic technologies: developments, challenges, and future perspectives,” Energy Conversion and Management: X, vol. 27, p. 101057, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ecmx.2025.101057.

[4] A. C. Lazaroiu, M. Gmal Osman, C.-V. Strejoiu, and G. Lazaroiu, “A Comprehensive Overview of Photovoltaic Technologies and Their Efficiency for Climate Neutrality,” Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 23, p. 16297, Nov. 2023, doi: 10.3390/su152316297.

[5] H. G. Sezgin-Ugranlı, “From Shadows to Signatures: Interpreting Bypass Diode Faults in PV Modules Under Partial Shading Through Data-Driven Models,” Electronics (Basel), vol. 14, no. 16, p. 3270, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.3390/electronics14163270.

[6] Md. I. Islam, M. S. Bin Jadin, A. Al Mansur, and T. Alharbi, “Electrical Performance and Degradation Analysis of Field-Aged PV Modules in Tropical Climates: A Comparative Experimental Study,” Energy Conversion and Management: X, vol. 24, p. 100719, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ecmx.2024.100719.

[7] T. Rahman et al., “Investigation of Degradation of Solar Photovoltaics: A Review of Aging Factors, Impacts, and Future Directions toward Sustainable Energy Management,” Energies (Basel), vol. 16, no. 9, p. 3706, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.3390/en16093706.

[8] J. Kalejs, “Junction box wiring and connector durability issues in photovoltaic modules,” N. G. Dhere, J. H. Wohlgemuth, and R. Jones-Albertus, Eds., Oct. 2014, p. 91790S. doi: 10.1117/12.2063488.

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

[9] L. Koester, S. Lindig, A. Louwen, A. Astigarraga, G. Manzolini, and D. Moser, "Review of photovoltaic module degradation, field inspection techniques and techno-economic assessment," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 165, p. 112616, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2022.112616.
[10] H. Al Mahdi, P. G. Leahy, M. Alghoul, and A. P. Morrison, "A Review of Photovoltaic Module Failure and Degradation Mechanisms: Causes and Detection Techniques," Solar, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 43–82, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.3390/solar4010003.
[11] P. Behuria, "The politics of late late development in renewable energy sectors: Dependency and contradictory tensions in India's National Solar Mission," World Dev, vol. 126, p. 104726, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104726.
[12] Emiliano Bellini, "Solar module prices will soon go back to over $0.12/W'," pv magazine - Photovoltaics Markets and Technology, 2025.
[13] A. Redekar, D. Deb, and S. M. Muyeen, "Assessment of Government Policies, Current Practices, Challenges, Research, Standards, and Scope for Dissemination of Box-Type Solar Cooker in India," Energy Sci Eng, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1037–1061, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1002/ese3.2003.
[14] N. Shiradkar et al., "Recent developments in solar manufacturing in India," Solar Compass, vol. 1, p. 100009, May 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.solcom.2022.100009.
[15] V. Rustagi and M. Karmarkar, "TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR BALANCE OF SYSTEMS IN SOLAR PROJECTS." Accessed: Aug. 26, 2025. [Online]. Available: file:///D:/Thesis%20final%20mtech/Jounral%20wise%20folder%20june%202024/Solar/Bridge-to-India-ICA-Report-Technical-standards-for-balance-of-system-in-solar-projects.pdf
[16] C. R. Kumar. J and M. A. Majid, "Renewable energy for sustainable development in India: current status, future prospects, challenges, employment, and investment opportunities," Energy Sustain Soc, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 2, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s13705-019-0232-1.
[17] M. Kumar, H. Mohammed Niyaz, and R. Gupta, "Challenges and opportunities towards the development of floating photovoltaic systems," Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, vol. 233, p. 111408, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.solmat.2021.111408.
[18] R. C.J., K. H. Lim, J. C. Kurnia, S. Roy, B. J. Bora, and B. J. Medhi, "Towards sustainable power generation: Recent advancements in floating photovoltaic technologies," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 194, p. 114322, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2024.114322.
[19] Maoyi Chang et al., "The reliability investigation of PV junction box based on 1GW worldwide field database," in 2015 IEEE 42nd Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC), IEEE, Jun. 2015, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/PVSC.2015.7356130.
[20] A. Yadav, A. Kumar, and M. K., "Performance impact of environmental and operational factors on solar photovoltaic panels," Environ Prog Sustain Energy, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1002/ep.70062.
[21] D. Yadav and A. K. Saraf, "Analysis of the visual degradation of PV modules installed in different climatic zones in India," Environ Dev Sustain, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10668-025-05979-x.
[22] K. Ravani, D. Sarkar, I. Mukhopadhyay, T. Thaker, and N. Ranganath, "Performance characterization and thermal imaging of large scale ground mounted solar photo voltaic plant in Western India," Sustainable Energy Research, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 35, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1186/s40807-025-00176-w.
[23] P. Baranova, R. Horry, and C. Booth, "To Infinity and Beyond the Environmental Impact Minimisation Paradigm," in Sustainable Ecological Engineering Design, Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025, pp. 3–16. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-73947-7_1.
[24] S. Pandey and M. Susten, "Evaluation of Various PV Module Cable Connectors and Analysis of their Compatibility International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology Evaluation of Various PV Module Cable Connectors and Analysis of their Compatibility," 2017. [Online]. Available: http://inpressco.com/category/ijcet
[25] D. Magare, P. Trivedi, and K. V. Khandeparkar, Photovoltaic Modules. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2025. doi: 10.1201/9781003623168.
[26] M. Parhamfar and A. Zabihi, "Comprehensive design of a 100-kilowatt solar power plant with bifacial technology in PVsyst for Arak, Iran," Solar Energy Advances, vol. 5, p. 100092, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.seja.2025.100092.
[27] A. Thakfan and Y. Bin Salamah, "Development and Performance Evaluation of a Hybrid AI-Based Method for Defects Detection in Photovoltaic Systems," Energies (Basel), vol. 18, no. 4, p. 812, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.3390/en18040812.
[28] K. Kahanavitage Don, S. Shata, H. He, and J. M. Schoenung, "Assessing Circular Economy in Photovoltaics: Life-Cycle Perspective of Material Recovery, Reuse, and Remanufacturing," 2025, pp. 165–176. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-80892-0_16.
[29] A. Chahtou, E. A. K. Boudjelthia, and N. Belhaouas, "Performance Degradation, Failures, and a New Strategy for Enhancing Efficiency: Selecting Optimal Polycrystalline Silicon PV Modules for Different Cities in Northern

IJIREEICE ISSN (O) 2321-2004, ISSN (P) 2321-5526

International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Impact Factor 8.414 ⪤ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⪤ Vol. 13, Issue 9, September 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

Algeria," Journal of Failure Analysis and Prevention, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 330–345, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s11668-024-02083-6.

[30] R. Pimpalkar, A. Sahu, A. Yadao, R. B. Patil, and A. Roy, "Reliability analysis and life cycle costing of rooftop solar photovoltaic (PV) system operating in a composite environment," Science and Technology for Energy Transition, vol. 80, p. 32, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.2516/stet/2025012.

[31] M. Kothari, L. Yuan, P. Nain, and A. Anctil, "Environmental and Economic Impacts of Early Residential Photovoltaic Retirement in California," Energy Convers Manag, vol. 344, p. 120281, Nov. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.enconman.2025.120281.

[32] K. Osmani, A. Haddad, T. Lemenand, B. Castanier, M. Alkhedher, and M. Ramadan, "A critical review of PV systems' faults with the relevant detection methods," Energy Nexus, vol. 12, p. 100257, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.nexus.2023.100257.

[33] T. Rahman et al., "Investigation of Degradation of Solar Photovoltaics: A Review of Aging Factors, Impacts, and Future Directions toward Sustainable Energy Management," Energies (Basel), vol. 16, no. 9, p. 3706, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.3390/en16093706.

[34] L. Alhmoud, "Why Does the PV Solar Power Plant Operate Ineffectively?," Energies (Basel), vol. 16, no. 10, p. 4074, May 2023, doi: 10.3390/en16104074.

[35] "Industry Research Report on Chemicals Industry Industry Research Report on Renewable Energy, Green Technologies and Power-focused NBFCs," 2023.

[36] E. Tarigan, "Identification of early operational defects in photovoltaic modules: A case study of a 24.9 MWp solar PV system in Sumatra, Indonesia," Unconventional Resources, vol. 6, p. 100156, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.uncres.2025.100156.

[37] M. Dehghanimadvar, R. Egan, and N. L. Chang, "Economic assessment of local solar module assembly in a global market," Cell Rep Phys Sci, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 100747, Feb. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.xcrp.2022.100747.

[38] I. Lillo-Bravo, P. González-Martínez, M. Larrañeta, and J. Guasumba-Codena, "Impact of Energy Losses Due to Failures on Photovoltaic Plant Energy Balance," Energies (Basel), vol. 11, no. 2, p. 363, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.3390/en11020363.

[39] M. Mittag, C. Kutter, S. Hoffmann, P. Romer, A. J. Beinert, and T. Zech, "ELECTRICAL AND THERMAL MODELING OF JUNCTION BOXES," 2017.

[40] P. Fang, L. Zhao, G. Song, J. Dong, J. Zhao, and Z. Wang, "Fire Safety Assessment of Building-Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPVs)," Fire, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 52, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3390/fire8020052.

[41] P.-H. Hsi and J. C. P. Shieh, "How Credible Is the 25-Year Photovoltaic (PV) Performance Warranty?—A Techno-Financial Evaluation and Implications for the Sustainable Development of the PV Industry," Sustainability, vol. 16, no. 9, p. 3880, May 2024, doi: 10.3390/su16093880.

[42] G. Favero and G. Piacitelli, "Irr and equivalence of cash-flow streams, loans, and portfolios of bonds," Decisions in Economics and Finance, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 379–399, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10203-024-00450-4.

[43] H. Wirth, S. Judith, and B. Communications, "Recent Facts about Photovoltaics in Germany," Aug. 2025. [Online]. Available: www.pv-fakten.de

[44] S. Singh, M. Alharthi, A. Anand, A. Shukla, A. Sharma, and H. Panchal, "Performance evaluation and financial viability analysis of grid associated 10 MWP solar photovoltaic power plant at UP India," Sci Rep, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 22380, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-26817-4.

[45] A. Redekar, D. Deb, and S. M. Muyeen, "Assessment of Government Policies, Current Practices, Challenges, Research, Standards, and Scope for Dissemination of Box-Type Solar Cooker in India," Energy Sci Eng, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1037–1061, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1002/ese3.2003.

[46] Y. Sim, Ankit, Y. B. Tay, D. Ravikumar, and N. Mathews, "Open challenges and opportunities in photovoltaic recycling," Nature Reviews Electrical Engineering, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 96–109, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1038/s44287-024-00124-8.

[47] supplying R. to production plants of G. H. or its derivatives-reg ALMM Exemption for RE plants in SEZ or EOU, "20240528324622281," Ministry of New & Renewable Energy (MNRE), May 2024, Accessed: Aug. 27, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://mnre.gov.in/

[48] A. Singh, R. Shankar, and A. Kumar, "A Comprehensive Review of Load Frequency Control and Solar Energy Integration: Challenges & Opportunities in Indian Context," Energies (Basel), vol. 18, no. 4, p. 843, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.3390/en18040843.

[49] H. Sun, X. Yang, X. Tang, and F. Peng, "How innovation funding leads enterprises to engage in research and development: Small and medium enterprises' perspective," PLoS One, vol. 18, no. 7, p. e0289166, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0289166.

[50] L. Zhao et al., "Top 61 Sunil Panigrahi Sunil Tiku ADVERTISING CIRCULATION PRINTING PUBLISHING EDITING CONTENT DESIGNING CONTENT," North, 2024.

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.13911

[51] J. Kalejs, "Junction box wiring and connector durability issues in photovoltaic modules," N. G. Dhere, J. H. Wohlgemuth, and R. Jones-Albertus, Eds., Oct. 2014, p. 91790S. doi: 10.1117/12.2063488.
[52] R. Basnet, L. Jones, M. A. Azmie, M. Jones, M. McCann, and M. Ernst, "Advancing circular economy of silicon Photovoltaics: Current status and challenges of PV module reuse," Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells, vol. 292, p. 113816, Oct. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.solmat.2025.113816.
[53] T. Orosz, A. Rassõlkin, P. Arsénio, P. Poór, D. Valme, and Á. Sleisz, "Current Challenges in Operation, Performance, and Maintenance of Photovoltaic Panels," Energies (Basel), vol. 17, no. 6, p. 1306, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.3390/en17061306.
[54] P. Tovar, "Preferential and multilateral liberalization: Evidence from Latin America's use of tariffs, antidumping and safeguards," J Dev Econ, vol. 141, p. 102383, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jdeveco.2019.102383.
[55] G. Sood* and Dr. P. Rao, "Safeguard Duty Imposition Impacting Solar Tariffs in India," International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 3514–3520, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.35940/ijitee.L2618.1081219.
[56] O. Khankhoje, H. Bherwani, and R. Biniwale, "Assessing life cycle environmental impacts of solar photovoltaics in India with a focus on end-of-life disposal," Environmental Science and Pollution Research, vol. 32, no. 27, pp. 16098–16119, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s11356-025-36649-0.
[57] N. Baghel, A. Kumar, K. Manjunath, and O. Prakash, "Advancing Local Energy Markets in India: Policy Framework, Regulatory Barriers, and Sustainable Energy Transition," WIREs Energy and Environment, vol. 14, no. 3, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1002/wene.70013.
[58] V. Pn and S. Kumar, "Policy and regulatory innovations for high-renewable grid penetration: a critical assessment of India's transition strategies", [Online]. Available: www.newresearchjournal.com
[59] U. Dhankar, S. Dahiya, and R. Chawla, "Mitigating the Solar PV Landscape: A Comprehensive Review of Challenges and Innovations," Transactions on Electrical and Electronic Materials, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 429–456, Aug. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s42341-025-00616-w.
[60] A. Singh, R. Shankar, and A. Kumar, "A Comprehensive Review of Load Frequency Control and Solar Energy Integration: Challenges & Opportunities in Indian Context," Energies (Basel), vol. 18, no. 4, p. 843, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.3390/en18040843.
[61] S. Poyyamani Sunddararaj, S. S. Rangarajan, S. Nallusamy, E. R. Collins, and T. Senjyu, "A Brief Survey on Important Interconnection Standards for Photovoltaic Systems and Electric Vehicles," World Electric Vehicle Journal, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 117, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.3390/wevj12030117.
[62] B. E. Demir, "A New Low-Cost Internet of Things-Based Monitoring System Design for Stand-Alone Solar Photovoltaic Plant and Power Estimation," Applied Sciences, vol. 13, no. 24, p. 13072, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.3390/app132413072.
[63] E. Lodhi et al., "SmartPV-AIoT: an AIoT-integrated framework for fault diagnosis and remote monitoring in photovoltaic systems," Energy Conversion and Management: X, vol. 27, p. 101117, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ecmx.2025.101117.
[64] M. M. A. Saeed, H. G. Hameed, and A. Alsahlani, "Numerical Investigation of Single Slope Solar Still Performance With Different Designs: Energy, Exergy, Economic and Environmental Analysis, and Optimization," Heat Transfer, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 4102–4123, Sep. 2025, doi: 10.1002/htj.23402.
[65] K Sivagami et al., "Solar Panel Recycling from Circular Economy Viewpoint: A Review," Applied Solar Energy, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 328–345, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.3103/S0003701X23601862.
[66] S. Preet and S. T. Smith, "A comprehensive review on the recycling technology of silicon based photovoltaic solar panels: Challenges and future outlook," J Clean Prod, vol. 448, p. 141661, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.141661.
[67] R. Pimpalkar, A. Sahu, A. Yadao, R. B. Patil, and A. Roy, "Reliability analysis and life cycle costing of rooftop solar photovoltaic (PV) system operating in a composite environment," Science and Technology for Energy Transition, vol. 80, p. 32, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.2516/stet/2025012.
[68] Ade Ayu Astuti, P. Nasyanti, and Teguh Asparil, "Comparative Analysis of International Climate Policies," International Journal of Public Administration, Management and Economic Development, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 12–30, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.60026/ijpamed.v10i1.224.
[69] T. S. Kishore, P. U. Kumar, and V. Ippili, "Review of global sustainable solar energy policies: Significance and impact," Innovation and Green Development, vol. 4, no. 2, p. 100224, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.igd.2025.100224.
[70] Oluwaseun Augustine Lottu, Vincent Ebhohime Ehiaguina, Sodrudeen Abolore Ayodeji, Tina Chinyere Ndiwe, and Uchenna Izuka, "GLOBAL REVIEW OF SOLAR POWER IN EDUCATION: INITIATIVES, CHALLENGES, AND BENEFITS," Engineering Science & Technology Journal, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 209–221, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.51594/estj.v4i4.583.

