IJIREEICE ISSN (O) 2321-2004, ISSN (P) 2321-5526

International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Impact Factor 8.021 ⁙ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁙ Vol. 13, Issue 4, April 2025

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.134112

BRAIN-COMPUTER INTERFACES: CAN WE CONTROL MACHINES WITH OUR MINDS?– EXPLORING NEURALINK AND THOUGHT-POWERED DEVICES.

ABIRAMI S[1], CHITTAL N[2], DR. K. THENMOZHI[3]

Department of Information Technology, Dr. N.G.P Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India[1]

Department of Information Technology, Dr. N.G.P Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India[2]

Department of Information Technology, Dr. N.G.P Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India[3]

Abstract: A brain-computer interface is a communication pathway between an external equipment and the human brain. The technology, rapidly evolving, holds tremendous power to not only catalyze innovations but also change with applications in health care, neuroprosthetics, cognitive enhancement, and possible areas in AI.
The working principle of BCIs consists of capturing signals from the brain, usually with an EEG or electrocorticography, and then applying algorithms to interpret those signals as commands through machine learning. Machine interface systems may also be lumped into three types according to their invasiveness into non-invasive, semi-invasive, and invasive. Non-invasive, unbelievable but easy to work with systems that tend to lose precision; meanwhile, invasive systems enter into the very empowered medical application just for their extreme precision.
Neuralink, founded by Elon Musk, is one of the leaders in the field, working on implantable brain-machine interfaces for restoring movement and enhancing cognitive functions. Other players in the field, such as BrainGate and OpenBCI, are thereby rapidly advancing technology to enable people to control robotic limbs and have developed open-source tools for research purposes.
Amazing developments have occurred, but a few challenges remain. Signal fidelity, delay, ethics, and regulation must all be addressed in order for BCIs to gain traction in the mainstream. With future developments in AI, neuroplasticity, and wireless communication, seamless interfacing of BCIs may become a reality.
In this paper BCIs are summarized from the science summit detailing advancements, hurdles within the field that still prevail, and arising concerns regarding ethicality. Comparison of the invasive and non-invasive mechanisms and future insights would form an important part of the paper that would focus on the coming days of this young technology.

Keywords: Brain-Computer Interface, Neuralink, Neurotechnology, Neural Signal Processing, Artificial Intelligence in BCIs, Neuroprosthetics, Neural Data Privacy

I.INTRODUCTION

As rapidly developing advances within neurotechnologies usher in an entire new wave of innovations, not a little has been made possible by brain-computer interfaces, or BCI. This innovation allows work to be conducted between the human brain itself and outside machines or digital platforms, bypassing all traditional input outlets, like keyboards, mice, and touchscreens. If neural signaling is interpreted by BCIs, a person can control and operate various devices through thought alone. Monumental innovations adopt this for many sectors informed, but not entirely limited, by medicine, where BCIs have shown the highest promise for restoring lost motor function and enabling independent living among persons stricken by paralysis. Some promising examples of the neuroprosthetics or other related technologies in the current BCI trend may someday help the few or totally movement-impaired patients to regain control proficiency such as typing, operating robotic limbs, or even walking with the use of exoskeletons.
The foremost company taking this pioneering conviction is Neuralink, the neurotechnology company of Elon Musk. The objective is high-bandwidth, implantable brain-machine interfaces to form a seamless, continuous interchange of information between the human brain and AI systems. With that, it aims to eventually allow humans to communicate and control advanced systems in real-time, thereby unlocking enormous realms such as cognitive augmentation, memory enhancement, and even telepathic conversation. However, not all the enticing promises of such innovations will be free of hurdles for a BCI implementation. These include issues related to ensuring that the

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.134112

entire signal processing chain is efficient and reliable, tackling security threats against the integrity of sensitive neural data, and addressing ethical concerns, such as mind control, privacy invasion, and unauthorized access to data.
Together with Neuralink, many other companies and research organizations shall be trying to have great milestones in creating BCIs. BrainGate, OpenBCI, and Emotiv are some of the platforms that have significantly contributed to the BCI field with both invasive and non-invasive neural interfacing solutions. For example, BrainGate has made systems that enable users with very severe motor impairments to control prosthetic devices or do communications via a computer through interpretation of brain activity. OpenBCI and Emotiv, however, have practically pioneered non-invasive technologies that allow the monitoring of brainwaves and controlling functions with external headsets, thus providing much easier ways into research and consumer use.
AI's integration-with BCI is envisioned to enhance that ability because neurotechnology goes on developing. This coupling of AI-powered algorithms with BCI techniques would enable complex processing, thereby improving applications such as BCI.

II.LITERATURE REVIEW

The origin of the terms Brain-computer interface (BCI) can be traced back to the 70s, which looked mainly into EEG applications for interfacing the recorded electrical activities of the brain with processes of simple communication and control (Wolpaw et al., 2002). These proved the beginning of the much complicated procedures which even more enhanced understanding of the electrical signals generated from the brain and their interface capabilities to the outside environment. Development of BCI technologies has been accompanied by increasingly more applications, from implanted electrodes like electrocorticography (ECoG) to noninvasive imaging technologies like the functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), each with its inherent merits with regard to signal clarity, spatial resolution, and application scopes (Lebedev&Nicolelis, 2006).
One of the latest companies engaged in BCI research is Neuralink, a project to grow the resolution and precision of implanted chips and their accurate signals imputation into the brain. The research entails a somewhat more seamless and effective link between the brain and the machine, which is organizing the frontiers of what can possibly happen with medical applications-as well as beyond-in augmenting cognitive proficiency and, in the end, interfacing with machines (Musk, 2019). In addition, the consortium of BrainGate showed the operational feasibility of using BCIs to empower severely paralyzed people to control robotic arms or other assistive technologies, bringing hope to those previously unable to interact with their environments due to a motor impairment (Hochberg et al., 2012).
One of the recent emphases in BCI research developments is the infusion of artificial intelligence into the decoding of neural signals. Incorporating artificial intelligence algorithms has granted impressive advancement in the integration of BCI systems with speed responding in real time while improving accuracy to interpret very complicated neural signals. This improvement had effects in reducing signal latency in processing, making practical applications of BCI from medical prosthetics to brain-controlled devices in day-to-day life possible (Schalk et al., 2011). Exciting as they may be, those future developments bring to the center stage ethical issues that are paramount in the BCI field. Few of the issues that they need to tackle as this technology grows include problems of user privacy, informed consent, possible cognitive manipulation, and unauthorized control over one's thoughts (Goering et al., 2021). Addressing these ethical questions will, in fact, shape the future of BCI research, making it imperative to resolve a balance between innovation and ethical conduct.

The table below summarizes the main applications of these BCI systems across various domains

BCI System	Neuroprosthetics	Communication	Cognitive Enhancement	Medical Applications
Neuralink	High (robotic limbs)	Moderate (AI integration)	High (memory, learning)	Potential (neurodegenerative diseases)
BrainGate	High (robotic arms)	High (communication via computer)	Moderate	Severe motor impairments.
OpenBCI	Low (basic prosthetics)	Low (basic control)	Low (initial research)	High (research)
Emotiv	Low (limited prosthetics)	High (brainwave control)	Low (basic cognitive tasks)	Moderate (research)

Fig 1.1: Applications of BCI Systems

International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering
Impact Factor 8.021 ⁘ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁘ Vol. 13, Issue 4, April 2025
DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.134112

III.PROBLEM STATEMENT

Brain-computer interfaces have enlisted a promising application with the potential to revolutionize health, communication, and even entertainment. Despite this, there are still glaring challenges preventing their full acceptance. First is signal processing accuracy. It is quite a complex task to interpret real-time brain activity because the signal's electrical fluctuates a lot and is quite detailed. Even taking into account recent advances in technology, such decoding systems still haven't achieved an accuracy where a person can say that the decoding is perfect, leading to misinterpretation errors and a lack of effectiveness.
Moreover, there are latency issues that block effectivity in their use during certain applications like neuroprosthetic control, which require precise and timely responsiveness within a fraction of a second from thought initiation through signal translation to action performed by the patient. The longer the delay between thought initiation and action, the less smooth the device operation will be. The next crucial issue is the aspect of security. Direct access becomes possible for the most private types of neural data. Accordingly, the risk of cyberattacks increases. So too do breaches or even unauthorized access of individuals' thoughts and their neural activity. Such issues bring forth the question of personal safety and privacy over-and-above, in a world that is becoming increasingly digitally vulnerable.
Furthermore, costs and expenses pertaining to BCI technology also limit the use of the technology. However much promise this technology holds, its high price tags restrict access to it, thus denying its clinical use where patients needing neuroprosthetics could benefit or consumers from enjoying applications from it. The digital divide engendered by such high costs is now characterized by only a minuscule proportion of the population owning access to such avant-garde technologies. Ethical issues further complicate BCI use cases. These concerns need to be carefully addressed lest they hinder responsible development and use of BCIs: cognitive surveillance, unauthorized manipulation of thoughts, and potential misuse of neural data.
One way is through partnerships across the disciplines of neuroscientists, engineers, policy makers, and ethicists to develop solutions that will allow BCIs to be secured, reliable, and affordable. The full transformation of societies through BCIs will only be achieved if all these experts add to their concerted efforts.

IV.CASE STUDY

This case study offers a comparative analysis of Neuralink with other BCI systems and BrainGate, Emotive, and OpenBCI services on the measures of accuracy and latency in the signals as well as usability and real applications. The deep understanding and informed way in which one will actually understand the performance and effectiveness of these technologies will be through a critical study of their strengths, limitations, and impacts within important fields like health and communication.
Neuralink has proven to be the best innovative approach for causing minimal brain damage during obtrusion surgery. The buzz is quite good concerning the use of something as small as a hair diameter and of flexibility in the threads for penetrating small pockets of brain cell territory in conjunction with immense resolution in neural data acquisition with a precision eye. Such tiny flexible filaments record the full width of brain activities at signal clarity much higher than any capable non-invasively applied BCI technologies-EEG-based systems. This is because such EEG-based noninvasive BCI systems usually distort neural signals by the skull and other tissues and thus cannot achieve high fidelity. Neuralink is designed to communicate more reliably and accurately with the brain while avoiding all these confounding factors for applications that involve coordinating multiple and complex tasks like prosthetic control or interfacing with external devices.
Another major contender in the BCI field, BrainGate, has undergone significant advances in the enabling of neuroprosthetic control to paralyzed subjects. For instance, in BrainGate, electrodes are implanted directly into the brain and are useful for robotic arm control as well as assistive technology (Hochberg et al. 2012). There are great challenges to achieving mobility restoration by these procedures due to the long-term user comfort issues associated with such invasive procedures and the related surgical risks.
Emotiv and OpenBCI do not install surgical implantation but provide non-invasive techniques. Their EEG headsets measure brainwave activity; as such, these systems are vastly more accessible for research, consumer applications, and even some medical treatments. However, this convenience weighs heavily against substituting reduced signal fidelity, as much easier it is to use as well as less intrusive than the invasive alternatives. These technologies, based on EEG, endured huge destruction and inference resulting in pretty much unusable systems for any application that requires a very high grade of precision in neural control such as real-time communication with AIs or advanced neuroprosthetics.
Bachelors have given the above preference for the usability aspect to Neuralink, another aspect being a wireless approach to the user experience of getting rid of agitating wires and other external devices. The wireless setup allows for a casual interaction between systems and users.

IJIREEICE ISSN (O) 2321-2004, ISSN (P) 2321-5526
International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering
Impact Factor 8.021 ⁜ Peer-reviewed & Refereed journal ⁜ Vol. 13, Issue 4, April 2025
DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.134112

The following table provides a direct comparison of invasive and non-invasive BCI systems across several critical parameters

Feature	Neuralink (Invasive)	BrainGate (Invasive)	OpenBCI (Non-invasive)	Emotiv (Non-invasive)
Signal Accuracy	High	Medium	Low	Low
Latency	Ultra-low	Medium	High	High
Usability	Wireless, flexible	Wired, restrictive	Wireless, flexible	Wireless, flexible
Security	High (with encryption)	Medium	Low (potential data breach)	Medium
Cost	High	Medium	Low	Low

Fig 2.1: Comparison of Invasive and Non-Invasive BCI Systems

V.RESULTS

A comparative study in brain-computer interface (BCI) technology provides useful insights into the strengths and weaknesses of the different systems. The various aspects that are explored in the analysis, particularly signal accuracy, latency, usability, safety, and cost, are some of the key parameters for evaluating the prospects and downsides of BCI technologies.

Signal Accuracy: Neuralink employs high-resolution electrodes that allow for a much clearer representation of signals compared to EEG-based devices. The use of a dense array of electrodes implanted directly in the brain allows Neuralink to record brain signals almost accurately, thereby facilitating a reliable communication system between the external machinery and the brain. In contrast, EEG-based systems, though non-invasive, record signals susceptible to interference and noise, hence compounding on signal quality and accuracy.

Latency: On account of direct neural access, a potential benefit of low latency is one way to describe Neuralink technology. An invasive procedure, Neuralink's systems would then enjoy the benefit of ultra-low communicational delays for real-time control between brain and external systems. Such a performance is extremely required for some neuroprosthetics, which rely on immediate feedback for control. Whereas in contrast, non-invasive BCIs dependent on surface sensors suffer transmission delays that would render them not useful in real-time applications requiring fast interaction like practically instant.

Usability: Neuralink is all about great usability thanks to wireless connections, while regular BCIs demand a fairly complicated setup of wires and external devices. This has allowed much more convenience and flexibility for its users. Buccal interfaces or whatever-they-are are so much harder having some sort of unassisted setup-they still have to be calibrated and usually tethered, restricting mobility and overall user experience.

Security: One of the objectives considered for communicating neural data encryptedly is the essence of security conferred on these protocols; however, security problems remain adamantly present for any BCI. Direct neural access allows extraordinarily sensitive neural information that must be protected from cyber assaults and unauthorized data breaches. The technology remains at risk, and far-reaching consequences on ethics and privacy could follow even when encrypted with safety measures employed in conventional systems. Henceforth the BCI developers will have to continually enhance security protection on user pathways to customer data liable to ill motives.

Cost: The monumental production costs of advanced materials and implantable chips required for surgical realization of high-resolution capabilities are big disadvantages of Neuralink technology. Consequently, an even smaller section of the population would get access to Neuralink systems.

VI.DISCUSSION

The huge potential and challenges still running with this advancement comes out very vividly in a comparison of varying Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) systems. Neuralink leads in terms of signal accuracy and latency due to its invasive nature-specific high-resolution electrodes implanted directly into the brain. This direct neural access makes an interface that is reliable and precise between the brain and devices outside ideal for high-end applications, such as neuroprosthetics developed directly wired to the brain for communication in real time with AI systems. Ultra-low latency allows faster and more efficient control which is critical in events requiring immediate feedback, such as robotic limb operation or controlling assistive technologies and human-machine interfaces. The wireless configuration also improves user experience by removing the external devices and enabling a seamless interaction.

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.134112

However, with the invasiveness of Neuralink, considerable problems arise. There are major obstacles imposed by all the risks that will accompany implanting electrodes into the brain, including possible brain death, and long-term exposure to foreign objects in the brain. Although undeniably promising, safety has to stay on top of the list of priorities, and further clinical trials are necessitated to figure out the long-term implications of the surgery. On top of this, the fact that these devices are expensive to develop and implant serves as barriers to accessibility as well. It is this price tag that greatly limits the wide applicability of Neuralink technology among critical patients who might use neuroprosthetics. Combining this affordability issue with all the discomfort and risks associated with invasive surgery makes it difficult to envision whether this case makes it mainstream.
Other than, however, non-invasive BCIs such as those developed by BrainGate, OpenBCI, and Emotiv present a much accessible-but-with-lots-of-limits alternative. This system is less invasive-to user experience that it does not need surgery at all. On the contrary, it faces many difficulties regarding its fidelity. Being that it only consists of external sensors (like EEG headsets), the signals come through the skull and scalp, which cause degradation and interference. Thus, applications of non-invasive BCIs cannot be used as effectively about precision and real-time response. For instance, while BrainGate's invasive systems promised paralyzed patients control of prosthetic limbs, such intricate tasks are beyond the capability of current non-invasive systems because they lack the needed resolution. This accessibility-performance conflict remains a primary issue for non-invasive technologies.
Artificial Intelligence integration in brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) transformed the evolution from the primitive systems. AI-powered algorithms are capable of decoding complex neural signals with increased accuracy and reduced latency for several applications. Application of AI in BCI system developments is also being broadened: intelligent human-machine interfaces, closed-loop feedback systems, and other emerging applications.

The following table highlights the ethical and security concerns associated with these technologies.

Ethical/Privacy Issue	Neuralink (Invasive)	BrainGate (Invasive)	OpenBCI (Non-invasive)	Emotiv (Non-invasive)
Informed Consent	Challenging (surgery involved)	Challenging (surgery)	Easier (no surgery)	Easier (no surgery)
Privacy/ Data Security	High risk (cyber attacks)	Medium risk	Medium risk	Medium risk
Cognitive Manipulation	High concern (direct access to thoughts)	Moderate concern (direct brain interaction)	Low concern (external sensors)	Low concern (external sensors)
Unauthorized Access	High risk (brain data hacking)	Medium risk	Low risk	Low risk

Fig 3.1: Ethical and Security Considerations

VII.CONCLUSION

Brain-Computer interfaces (BCIs) possess great promise for changing future human-machine interactions: using thought to control external machinery and systems. It could open up considerable advances in assistive technology, medical treatment, and even cognitive enhancement. Neuralink is the first of what may be many exciting steps in developing those BCIs through high-bandwidth brain implants intended to link human neural activity with AI. Such advances also make predictions for the time when the disabled will regain lost functions, such as mobility or communication, with human thought itself being amplified through seamless interaction with machines. However, these imaginings will be overshadowed by great challenges. The most urgent concern among them is neural signal data security. As a result of the direct neural connection brought about by BCIs, they become probably the most recent frontier for cybersecurity risks. Possible achievements include opening neural signals for interception or even accessing personal information, which threatens privacy. Accessibly accompanied by costs of constructively high BCI technologies, mostly invasive, such as Neuralink, adds constraints to accessibility to some who might use it. Thus, unless things are modified in the view of affordability, BCIs would be another technology that only segments of the population might gain access to, further deepening already engendered inequalities in healthcare and technology.
Ethics is one of the major axes along which BCIs would gather momentum for application and development. Such ethical issues are like that of informed consent, privacy, and also the potential for cognitive manipulation bring moral concerns over the use of such technologies. Effective integration of BCIs into the community should hopefully come with dormant ethical frameworks that center on achieving users' welfare. The other ethical aspects of AI into BCI include the issues of ensuring the safe use of the AI systems involved in decoding neural signals, as well as misuse prevention.

DOI: 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2025.134112

In the future, BCIs should be targeted for potential research at the core of technological development and prime concern issues on security, affordability, and ethical consideration. Much must be done to make BCI solutions less expensive and more accessible, while establishing stringent safeguards for neural security to users. Ethical integration of AI into neurotechnology must take priority to ensure that BCIs serve to enhance the quality of human life rather than as a trade-off against personal freedoms and privacy. In this way, BCIs can bring future innovation to existing assistive technology, health care, and cognitive enhancement, and bring them into a possible new era for machines with brain-involved interactions that could significantly affect the manner in which we live, work, and communicate.

REFERENCES

[1] Wolpaw, J. R., & McFarland, D. J. (2002). The use of brain-computer interfaces for communication and control. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 10(3), 204-210.

[2] Lebedev, M. A., & Nicolelis, M. A. L. (2006). Brain–machine interfaces: Past, present and future. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 29(9), 481-489.

[3] Schalk, G., et al. (2010). Decoding human brain signals for real-time neuroprosthetics. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 7(3), 260-275.

[4] Hochberg, L. R., et al. (2012). Neuronal ensemble control of prosthetic devices by a human with tetraplegia. *Nature*, 442(7099), 164-171.

[5] He, H., & Wu, D. (2015). Transfer learning for brain-computer interfaces: A Euclidean space data alignment approach. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 62(2), 100-112.

[6] Vasilenko, V., et al. (2017). Non-invasive BCI and brain data analytics. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 14(5), 055001.

[7] Patel, S., & Gupta, R. (2018). AI-enhanced signal processing in BCIs: A review. *Journal of Computational Neuroscience*, 12(6), 102-115.

[8] Musk, E. (2019). Neuralink and the Brain's Magical Future. Neuralink.

[9] Brown, K., et al. (2020). Ethical considerations for invasive BCI technologies. *Journal of Neuroethics*, 15(4), 45-60.

[10] Ahmed, F., & Singh, N. (2021). Reducing latency in real-time BCIs using AI algorithms. *Journal of Neural Systems*, 29(3), 75-89.

[11] Johnson, P., & Zhao, W. (2022). Enhancing BCI performance using deep learning algorithms. *Journal of Neural Engineering*, 19(2), 345-362.

[12] Mehta, R., & Wang, Y. (2023). Advances in non-invasive brain-computer interfaces: A focus on EEG-based systems. *Neurotechnology Today*, 11(4), 102-118.

[13] Tran, P., & Li, C. (2023). Neural signal processing: The role of AI in modern BCIs. *Artificial Intelligence and Neurotech*, 5(4), 115-134.

[14] Patel, D., & Singh, A. (2023). Ethical dilemmas in neural data privacy and BCIs. *International Journal of Neuroethics*, 8(1), 12-30.

[15] Zhou, H., et al. (2024). Bridging the gap: Comparing invasive and non-invasive BCI systems. *Brain-Computer Interface Journal*, 17(2), 145-158.

[16] Smith, J., & Morales, E. (2024). BCIs in cognitive enhancement: The Neuralink perspective. *Cognitive Neuroscience Today*, 10(3), 25-42.

[17] Yang, L., et al. (2024). Real-world applications of BCIs in healthcare. *Medical Engineering Horizons*, 23(2), 210-229.

[18] West, R., et al. (2024). Comparing neural implant technologies: Neuralink vs BrainGate. *Neurotechnology Progress*, 18(1), 101-120.

[19] Carter, M. (2024). AI-enhanced BCIs for neuroprosthetic control: A review. *Advances in Neuroprosthetics*, 9(6), 58-74.

[20] Wilson, D., et al. (2024). Security concerns in brain-computer interfaces: An overview. *Journal of Neurosecurity*, 14(1), 38-55.