

International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Vol. 9, Issue 8, August 2021

DOI 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2021.9805

HEALTH OUTCOMES BETWEEN PHYSICAL AND NON-PHYSICAL EDUCATION STUDENTS

Jai Bhagwan Singh Goun, Dr. S.Y.Bharshakhle

Research Scholar, Swami Ramanand Teerth Marathwada University, Nanded

B.S College Basmat (Hingoli)

Abstract: The purpose of the study was to find out the differences of selected components of health outcomes, between physical and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) students. The findings of the study reveal that Physical Education Students was better Perceived general health as compared to Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students. The Result of the study indicates that, there was significant difference of Physical functioning sub scale of health outcomes between Physical Education and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students. The Result of the study indicates that, there was significant difference of Mental health sub scale of health outcomes between Physical Education (NPEdu) Students . The findings of the study reveals that Physical Education Students was sound Mental health as compared to Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students. The Result of the study indicates that , there was significant difference of Health outcome (Combine sample) between Physical Education and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students. The Result of the study indicates that , there was significant difference of Health outcome (Combine sample) between Physical Education Students was better Health outcome (Combine sample) as compared to Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students.

INTRODUCTION

The goal of physical education is to develop physically literate individuals who have the knowledge, skills and confidence to enjoy a lifetime of healthful physical activity. The importance of a physical activity program is linked to a higher quality of life as well as academic achievement. It is well documented that regular physical activity in childhood and adolescence improves strength and endurance, helps build healthy bones and muscles, helps control weights, reduces anxiety and stress, increases self-esteem and may improve blood pressure and cal activity and who have regular, sequential physical education are stronger students – physically and mentally. Numerous provide data that adequate physical and physical activity strengths academic achievement. A recent study by the California State Department of Public Instruction provide compelling evidence that the physical well-being of students has direct impact on their ability to achieve academically. Physical education provides students with the knowledge and skills that will enable them to achieve and maintain a physically active and healthful life, not only during their time in school but for a lifetime. Health and physical education are integral components of a balanced educational program. Regular physical activity can help children and adolescents **improve cardiorespiratory fitness**, build strong bones and muscles, control weight, reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression, and reduce the risk of developing health conditions

METHODS

The purpose of the doctoral study was to find out the differences of health outcomes, between physical and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) students. Total 300 physical education and 300 other students selected for the study and their age ranged between 18-30years. The data was collected through respondents in the form of different experimental tests. The demographic information about Gender, age, daily smoking, drug use, etc. was obtained before seeking responses. This study involves a cross sectional, comparative study of physical and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) students. The research design of the study is to descriptive research design. The study depends mainly on primary source of data. The data was collected through respondents in physical and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) students the Instructions was given to the sports person before filling the questionnaires. The data was collected through questionnaires. The instruction was given by the investigator to the students before filling these questionnaires. In assessing the Health outcomes, the Outcome Study: Short-form 36 (MOS SF-36) that was developed by Ware, Snow, Kosinski, Gandek (1993) was used. T-test, was considered statistically technique throughout the study. The level of significant was set-up at 0.05 level.



International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Vol. 9, Issue 8, August 2021

DOI 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2021.9805

Table-1

Illustration of Statistical comparison of the Perceived General Health sub scale of Health Outcomes between Male Physical Education and Male Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students ..

Sr. No.	Health outcomes	Students	No.	Means	SDs	T-ratios
1.	Perceived general	Physical	196	26.71	6.78	
	health	Education				
		Non-Physical	165	24.73	6.12	3 60 *
		Education				5.00
		(NPEdu)				

Table -1 depicted Mean scores, Standard deviation and t-ratio of Perceived general health sub scale of health outcomes between Male Physical Education and Male Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students ..





24

IJIREEICE



International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Vol. 9, Issue 8, August 2021

DOI 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2021.9805

Table -2

Illustration of Statistical comparison of the mental health sub scale of Health Outcomes between Male Physical Education and Non Male physical education students

Sr. No.	Health outcomes	Students	No.	Means	SDs	T-ratio
1.	Mental health	Physical Education	196	6.12	1.15	
		Non-Physical Education (NPEdu)	165	5.78	1.05	1.65 NS

Table -2 depicted Mean scores, Standard deviation and t-ratio of Mental health sub scale of health outcomes between Male Physical Education and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students .

Figure-2 The Mean scores and Standard deviation of Mental health sub scale of health outcomes between Male Physical Education and Male Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students





International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Vol. 9, Issue 8, August 2021

DOI 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2021.9805

Table -3

Illustration of Statistical comparison of Health Outcomes between Male Physical education and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students

	Education (11 Edu) Students						
Sr. No.	Health outcomes	Students	No.	Means	SDs	T-ratio	
	Combine sample						
1.	Health outcome	Physical Education	196	96.56	9.78		
		Non-Physical Education (NPEdu)	165	91.23	8.54	6.22*	

Table -3 depicted Mean scores, Standard deviation and t-ratio of Health outcome (Combine sample) sub scale of health outcomes between Male Physical Education and Male Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students .

Figure-3 The Mean scores and Standard deviation of Health outcome (Combine sample) between Male Physical Education (NPEdu) Students





International Journal of Innovative Research in Electrical, Electronics, Instrumentation and Control Engineering

Vol. 9, Issue 8, August 2021

DOI 10.17148/IJIREEICE.2021.9805

DISCUSSION

The Male physical education students obtained 26.71 mean score of Perceived general health and Non Male physical education students were obtained 24.73 mean scores, whereas, the physical education students obtained 6.78 Standard Deviations of Perceived general health and Non Male physical education students were obtained 6.12 Standard Deviation. The Result of the study indicates that, there was significant difference of Perceived general health sub scale of health outcomes between Male Physical Education and Non Male physical education students. The findings of the study reveal that Physical Education Students was better Perceived general health as compared to Non Male physical education students. The physical education students obtained 6.12 mean score of mental health and Non Male physical education students were obtained 5.78 mean scores, Where as The Male physical education students obtained 1.15 Standard Deviation of Mental health and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students were obtained 1.05 Standard Deviation. The Result of the study indicates that, there was insignificant difference of mental health sub scale of health outcomes between Male Physical Education and Male Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students . The Male physical obtained 93.67 mean score of Health outcome (Combine sample) and Non Male physical education students education students were obtained 87.90 mean scores, Where as The Male physical education students obtained 10.76 Standard Deviation of Health outcome (Combine sample) and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students were obtained 09.12 Standard Deviation. The Result of the study indicates that, there was significant difference of Health outcome (Combine sample) between Male Physical Education and Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students. The findings of the study reveals that Male Physical Education Students was better Health outcome (Combine sample) as compared to Non-Physical Education (NPEdu) Students.

REFERENCES

Abouserie, R (1994). Sources and levels of stress in relation to locus of control and self-esteem in university students. Educational Psychology, 14, 323-330.

Aguilar, L. (2004). Climate change and disaster mitigation. IUCN. Switzerland.

Andrea L. Dwyer Anne L. (2001). Cummings Stress, Self-Efficacy, Social Support, and Coping Strategies in University Students.Canadian Journal of Counselling / Revue canadienne de counseling. 35 (3) ; 208-220.

Brantley, P. J., &. Garrett, V. D. (1993). Psychobiological approaches to health and disease. In R. Sutker& H. Adams (Eds.), Comprehensive handbook of psychopathology New York: Plenum Press.

Carveth, J.A., Gesse, T., & Moss, N. (1996). Survival strategies for nurse-midwifery students. Journal of Nurse-Midwifery, 41(1), 50-54

Craig Hassed (2008) Enhancing the health of medical students: outcomes of an integrated mindfulness and lifestyle program Adv in Health SciEdu c 1-12. Creed, F. (1985). Life events and physical illness. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 29, 113-123.

Dahlin M, Joneborg N, Runeson B. (2005). Stress and depression among medical students: a cross-sectional study. Med Educ.; 39(6):594-604

Demakis, G. J. & McAdams, D. P. (1994). Personality, social support, and well-being among first year college students. College Student Journal, 28(2): 235-243.

Dohrenwend, B. S. & Dohrenwend, B. P. (Eds.) (1974). Stressful Life Events: Their Nature and Effects. New York: Wiley.

Dohrenwend, B. S., &Dohrenwend, B. P. (1978). Some issues in research on stressful life events. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 166, 7-15

Dusselier, L., Dunn, B., Wang, Y., Shelley, M. C., & Whalen, D. F. (2005). Personal, health, academic, and environmental predictors of stress for residence hall students. Journal of American College Health, 54: 15-24.

Dyrbye L.N, Thomas M.R, Shanafelt T.D (2006) Systematic review of depression, anxiety, and other indicators of psychological distress among U.S. and Canadian medical students. Acad Med : 81(4): 354-73

Edwards, K. J., Hershberger, P. J., Russell, R. K., & Market, R. J. (2001). Stress, negative social exchange, and health symptoms in university students. Journal of American College Health, 50, 75–79

Folkman, S. (1986). Dynamics of a stressful encounter: Cognitive appraisal, coping, and encounter outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50, 992-1003.

Gadzella B. M. (1991) Student-life stress inventory. Commerce, TX: Author

Givens J.L (2002) Depressed medical students' use of mental health services and barriers to use. Acad Med, 77(9): 918-21.

Guthrie E (1998) Psychological stress and burnout in medical students: a five-year prospective longitudinal study. J R Soc Med,; 91(5): 237-43. PMCID: PMC1296698.

Guthrie E (1998) Psychological stress and burnout in medical students: a five-year prospective longitudinal study. J R Soc Med,; 91(5): 237-43. PMCID: PMC1296698.

Hassed C. (2009) Enhancing the health of medical students: outcomes of an integrated mindfulness and lifestyle program. Advances in health sciences education, 14(3), 387-398.

Kamble A (2016) Psychological problem among Indian female students athlete" Unpublished M.Phil, Dissertation SRTM University Nanded India.

Kivimäki M, Leino-Arjas P, Luukksen R, Riihimaki H (2002) Work stress and the risk of cardiovascular mortality: Perspective cohort study of industrial employees. BMJ325: 857-60

Kohn, J. P., & Frazer, G. H. (1986). An academic stress scale: Identification and rated importance of academic stressors. Psychological Reports, 59, 415-426 Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR (2009). Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience.10(6):434–445

McVicarA (2003) Working place stress in nursing: A literature review. J AdvNurs 46(6): 633-42

Milkie, M. A., & Thoits, P. A. (1993). Gender differences in coping with positive and negative experiences. Unpublished manuscript, Indiana University.

Misra, R., McKean, M., West, S., & Russo, T. (2000). Academic stress of college students: Comparison of student and faculty perceptions. College Student Journal, 34, 236–245.

Ortqvist D, Wincent J (2008) Prominent consequences of role stress: A meta-analytic review. International Journal of Stress Management 13 (4), 399

Pearlin LI, Schieman S, Fazio EM, (2005). Stress, health, and the life course: Some conceptual perspectives. Journal of Health and Social Behavior. ;46(2):205-219.

Rabkin, J. G., & Streuning, E. L. (1976). Life events, stress, and illness. Science, 194, 1013-1020.

Reifman, A., &Dunkel-Schetter, C. (1990). Stress, structural social support, and well-being in university students. Journal of American College Health, 38, 271–277.

Saipanish R. (2003) Stress among medical students in a Thai medical school. Med Teach.;25:502-6.