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Abstract: This methodology of Unified controls for a Power plant is conceived by the consultants and EPC contractor to reduce life cycle costs. Our approach is designed in such way to reduce the upfront engineering time also. The engineering is to be integrated from various Utility OEM inputs and practices. This is implemented in few projects and various options are also indicated in the methodology.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The advent and application of new technologies in information technology have triggered a continuous improvement and development in automation system solutions and control system architecture. However - industrial automation, especially power plant automation has a relatively conservative approach with respect to fast changing trend in automation hardware, software & communication.

The 1st generation plant digital control systems had microprocessor based single loop controllers integrated into BTG unit control boards with HMI for user interface.

The 2nd Generation digital control system in 1990s evolved all control functions with proprietary communication protocols.

The current 3rd generation DCS controllers use PC technology with open architecture & OPC compliant 3rd party hardwired interfaces.

With advancement in technology, especially in concept of communication protocols to facilitate interfacing different control systems, the concept of implementing unified plant environment has been possible to the extent in power plant control systems. These systems are network enabled and fast becoming communication channels that provide real time information as inputs to all decision makers and increase awareness in management. Wireless technology, fiber optic network, field bus & client server based architecture have added to these improvements.

Table- 1 indicates different automation levels to achieve Unified Automation Integration for Power Plant. As indicated in Table -1, plant automation has two categories, one is process automation and other is power or electrical automation i.e. substation automation. Due to the fact that many protocols are used in “Substation automation”, today integration of electrical equipment is a challenge.

However, a few DCS manufacturers also deal with protection and control of electrical systems and are in a position to completely integrate DCS and electrical SCADA functions.

Around 1998, agencies like IEC & IEEC joined together and introduced a open protocol usable by all leading manufacturers i.e. IEC 61850 exclusively for interface of electrical SCADA functions with power plant process control systems.

Process automation also has 2 areas i.e. Process instrumentation and process or electrical electrification. Generally in process electrification all critical electrical drives are connected via hard wiring I/Os (Input /Output). Latest trend is to have few non-critical electrical breaker controlled drives control from DCS by integrating Smart MCC (motor control centre ) in seamless manner through IEC 61850 or profibus protocol. For utility packages like water treatment, plant integration is done for all electrical devices by using smart MCC i.e. soft link is used instead of regular hardwiring of electrical signals in few projects.

Next area as shown in Table-1 is automation of main plant equipment and Utility packages like CHP, AHP, WT Plant, etc. Generally, control of utility packages are implemented in PLC, since it is cost effective and does not require complex control functions.

In India, most power plant designers have conservative approach, hence the technological improvements and unified controls automation for the complete plant at all levels of process and electrical automation implementation is yet to be achieved in totality.

However in recent years ,few clients prefer to implement controls of these Utility packages in unified plant control system. Seamless integration of DCS for main plant and utility packages have many advantages to end users like improved operator effectiveness, reduced maintenance costs, lower life cycle costs, etc.

As noted above, many clients are implementing DCS controls for main plant control and also utility packages controls. The issues to be overcome for implementation of the same are :

a) Single EPC tender for complete plant are not preferred due to cost optimization.
b) In power plants, main BTG equipment and respective packages supplier are separate & are specialized vendors.
So usually independent tenders are floated to get the cost benefit and interface between all packages and main BTG plant is done by client/consultant.

c) Schedule of implementation could be a deterrent, since utility packages are ordered after getting inputs from Main BTG Plant.

To implement concept b) above, upfront a lot of Engineering Documents/interfaces of package units are to be included in DCS tender documents, generally a part of main plant BTG package. These engineering documents are prepared with existing data base and experience to facilitate initial tender purpose engineering. Validation and approval is done after the receipt of input from respective vendors during detail engineering phase.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Case Study – 1

- Control/Operation philosophy of the complete plant to be conceptualized and finalized.
- In consultation with client, finalize and include Control System for entire plant in BTG package contract. A separate tender for C&I including the control systems for main plant BTG package. These engineering documents are prepared with existing data base and experience to facilitate initial tender purpose engineering. Validation and approval is done after the receipt of input from respective vendors during detail engineering phase.
- After review of basic studies of respective packages, prepare engineering documents: I/O List, Drive Control Philosophy, Location, No. of Operator / engineering stations, LVS using available data bank as a preliminary estimation. However all these details shall be reviewed and approved after receipt of the respective vendor information.
- Detail unit prices are requested in tender for facilitating the minor variation, if any in quantities of hardware vary during detail engineering the system.
- After the placement of order for DCS, the following steps are followed,
  - DCS vendor shall configure the system by finalizing functional grouping of controllers, location of remote control cabinet / I/O Cabinets, location of operator work station (HMI’s) based on operation philosophy of the plant (Typical attached Table - II)
  - Engineer the control cabinets as per I/O list (Typical attached Table - III), Instrument List, Drive philosophy provided by respective vendor of package and reviewed by the Client/Consultant.
  - Incorporate the Control logics and Graphic pages as submitted by the respective vendors after Client / Consultant approval.
  - Regular Technical Interaction meetings are held between Respective Vendor / DCS Vendor/Client/Consultant
  - After engineering completion, FAT of the package supplier respective control system shall be conducted with Engineers of the package supplier and Client / Consultant Engineers.
  - Complete Cabling up to marshalling cabinet of Control system shall be done by respective package supplier from primary source i.e. field input under the supervision of DCS Vendor.
  - Commissioning of systems shall be done jointly by DCS Vendor and respective package supplier under supervision of owners’ engineers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL No.</th>
<th>System Package / Location of Control System</th>
<th>Control Hardware</th>
<th>Interface with Sub Systems</th>
<th>System Supplied to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Coal Handling Plant (CHP) (Common for all the units) / CHP control room</td>
<td>Redundant controllers Dedicated I/O Modules and cabinets Remote I/O modules and cabinets 1 No- EWS, 2 No- OWS 1 No- LVS (67”) 1 No-A3 cum A4 laser colour printer</td>
<td>Remote I/Os</td>
<td>CHP package vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Ash handling plant (AHP) (Common for all the units) / AHP control room</td>
<td>2 sets of Redundant controllers Dedicated I/O Modules and cabinets Remote I/O modules and cabinets 1 No- EWS, 2 No- OWS 1 No- LVS (67”) 1 No-A3 cum A4 laser colour printer</td>
<td>Remote I/Os</td>
<td>AHP package Vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Water Treatment Plant (Includes Pre -Treatment Plant +DM Plant + CW treatment + Electro Chlorination System + ETP + STP + RW intake system+ CW makeup) (Common for all the units) / WTP Control room</td>
<td>Redundant controllers Dedicated I/O Modules and cabinets Remote I/O modules and cabinets 1 No- EWS 2 No- OWS 1 No-A3 cum A4 laser colour printer</td>
<td>Remote I/Os</td>
<td>WTP package Vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Fuel Oil Handling and Storage (Common for all the units) / Fuel oil pump house</td>
<td>Redundant controllers Dedicated I/O Modules and cabinets Remote I/O modules and cabinets 1 No- EWS cum OWS</td>
<td>Remote I/Os</td>
<td>FOHS package vendor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Air conditioning (HVAC) and ventilation system (Common for all the units) / Chiller Room</td>
<td>Redundant controllers Dedicated I/O Modules and cabinets 1 No- EWS cum OWS 1 No-A3 cum A4 laser colour printer</td>
<td>Remote I/Os</td>
<td>HVAC package vendor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE – III TYPICAL I/ O COUNTS FOR BOP FOR THERMAL PLANT OF 660MW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr.No</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>DI</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>AI</th>
<th>AO</th>
<th>Soft Interface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>FOPH</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>AHP</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ECP+DM+ETP</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>HVAC</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>For CW pumps, Cooling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tower, compressed air</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>system, COLTS etc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Switchyard</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Redundant, Bidirectional OPC link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fire Alarm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Redundant, Bidirectional OPC link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fire protection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>DG set</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Uni directional, Non redundant Mod bus link</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>EBOP-Electrical</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Modbus/OPC/IEC 61850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>IEC 61850 E Bop Interface</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IEC61850 SOFTLINK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Uni directional, Non redundant Mod bus link</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Case Study - 2
- To place order on BTG contractor with Main plant DCS in BTG scope & utility plant controls system are excluded.
- Unit rates of all critical modules of DCS from DCS vendor to be obtained & instruct DCS vendor to use this price for all utility control systems engineered by respective package supplier.
- Float EPC specification for utility packages including respective control system. Mention in the specification that the control system should be of same make as that of BTG DCS.
- Earlier client selected DCS vendor get a separate order from CHP contractor, AHP contractor, etc. for the respective control systems.
- All required engineering is done by the respective package supplier & DCS vendor implements utility control system engineering in DCS.

C. Benefits for customer implementing case study-2 in comparison with case study -1
- No inputs (related to IO counts) with regard to utility plants had to be calculated, & the entire responsibility of the respective engineering was left to the respective package suppliers.
- Customer need not have to check & make payment individually for each of the utility plant DCS control system as the cost is built in the respective package supplier scope.
- Successful implementation without any interfacing issues.

D. Benefits for customer implementing case study-1 in comparison with case study -2
- Uniformity of data presentation and operation procedure improves operation quality.
- Availability of operation graphics/information from all work Stations.
- Collection of all data in one single data base.
- Simplified IT system administration like system security, back up procedure etc.,
- Simplified engineering documentation, reduced spares, low maintenance cost.
- Leads to single interface with plant information management, computer maintenance, management system (CMMS) etc.
III. CONCLUSION

- Initially, it was felt that using DCS for all functions/packages could be costly, however in view of long term benefits noted above, unified DCS for the complete power plant would be cost effective.
- Also, uniform hardware is used for control system and use of remote I/Os for various plant areas reduce cabling cost.
- In areas of maintenance and spares, reduction in long run i.e. reduction in life cycle cost is achieved.
- We feel that client can adopt unified controls for the complete power plant. All required support and engineering shall be provided based on experience.
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